

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,797	10/044,797 01/10/2002		833.0149USU	8380	
. 7:	590 07/25/2003				
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Ohlandt, Greek 10th Floor	ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.	Р.	SPISICH, MARK		
One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1744	7	
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/044,797	CARLUCCI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Mark Spisich	1744			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .	·			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-49</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.				
·· _					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		ho Evaminor			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a):  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li><li>application from the International Bur</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,			
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.6	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					



Art Unit: 1744

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: #57 (as per page 9, line 12), #62 (as per page 9, line 23) and #63 (as per page 10, line 1). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Driesen et al (USP 5,652,990). The patent to Driesen discloses a bristle carrier (38) and a plurality of tufts arranged in three distinct groups (see especially figs 3 and 4) including a first group disposed in an outer row (70), a second group disposed in a middle row (72) and a third group (the two tufts in the center which are within the middle row) disposed in an inner row. Absent a recitation of a structural difference or distinction between the three groups, the mere recitation of the intended function thereof is not sufficient to define over the prior art.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/044,797

Art Unit: 1744

4. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Masterman et al (USP 6,058,541). The patent to Masterman discloses (see especially fig 7) a bristle carrier (68) having a plurality of tufts of bristles arranged in three distinct groups including an outer row, a middle row and an inner row (see fig 7). As mentioned above, the mere difference in the intended function of the three groups, absent a structural distinction therebetween, fails to define over the prior art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masterman et al (USP 6,058,541). The patent to Masterman discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the embossment. The provision of various distal end bristle shapes is well known in the art and that one of ordinary skill would deem it obvious to modify the distal ends of the bristles of Masterman depending on the desired abrasive effect on the teeth and gums.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6-49 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,797

Art Unit: 1744

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (703) 308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS July 25, 2003